IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

INMATES OF THE PENNSYLVANIA

DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, CIVIL ACTION NO. 02-2687

Plaintiffs,

V.

THOMAS W. CORBETT, JR., et al.,

Defendants. :

## JUDGMENT

AND NOW, this 25th day of April 2007, it is hereby ORDERED that pursuant to the Court's Order of April 25, 2007, JUDGMENT is entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff as to Counts IV and V of the third amended complaint.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, all counts having been adjudicated, the case shall be marked **CLOSED**. 1

AND IT IS SO ORDERED.

S/Eduardo C. Robreno EDUARDO C. ROBRENO, J.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> By Memorandum and Order of August 27, 2004, the Court dismissed Counts I, II, III, and VI of the second amended complaint (doc. no. 64). The only counts that remained were Counts VII (Ex Post Facto Clause) and VIII (retaliation). (Due to misnumbering, Counts IV and V never existed in the second amended complaint).

By Memorandum and Order of April 25, 2007, the Court granted summary judgment in favor of Defendants as to Counts IV (Ex Post Facto Clause) and V (retaliation) of the third amended complaint.